

Overview of this Week:

- Today: CAS properties, concepts; Why ABM?
- Tuesday: Who interacts with Whom?
Real networks are not (random; lattice; fully connected). Interaction topology often key to resulting dynamics.
- Wednesday: Co-adaptation, Perpetual Novelty and Emergence.
The ElFarol Bar Problem (Minority Game).
- Thursday: Simulating Societies (or parts thereof). The SugarScape model; Spread of Opinions; Urban growth.
- Friday: Verification, Validation, and other issues.

Center for the **S**tudy of **C**omplex **S**ystems

- “mission statement”
Encourage and facilitate education and research in the general area of complex, non-linear, dynamic and adaptive systems.
- Interdisciplinary center (not a department)
- Associated faculty and students from Biology, Economics, Political Science, Math, Engineering, Computer Science, Anthropology, Physics, Business School, Public Health, Psychology, and more.
- Students can earn a UM Rackham Graduate Certificate in Complex Systems Studies (5 courses – mini-Masters)
- IGERT – funding for PolSci, Econ, Soc grad students. (Talk to Scott Page.)
- Shared interest in CAS:
 - search for (belief in!) common underlying dynamics and structure across many systems
 - transfer techniques and tools (empirical, analytical, computer modeling)

Nonlinear Systems: Agent-Based and Computer Intensive Models of Complex Adaptive Systems. CAS includes:

- Biological systems (ecologies, brains, cells)
- Economies, markets
- Human organizations (firms)
- Political, cultural systems (cities, states,...)

An Introduction to:

- Cmplx Sys Approach: Bottom-up models
 - Agent-Based computer models
 - Simple math models
- Specific models in various areas (IR, OT, markets, voting,...)
- General concepts from C(A)S studies

Morning sessions:

- Rather a lot to read (esp. this week!)
Read what you can...much can be read relatively quickly.
- **Discussion!** Its more fun for all of us!!

Course will cover key issues / concepts from Complex Systems including:

- Emergence; micro rules to macro patterns
- Distributed systems: no central controllers

- Interdependence; Feedback
- Path dependence
- Dynamics (vs Equilibria)

- Evolution, Learning, Adaptation (Genetic Algorithms)
- Satisficing (vs optimizing)
- Co-adaptation; Niches; Diversity
- Perpetual novelty;

- Interaction Topology (Networks, Space)

- Self-Organized Criticality (SOC)
- Edge of Chaos; Cellular Automata

Why a Complex Systems Approach?

Focus on aspects difficult for other approaches:

CAS Micro-level characteristics

- Medium number of components (agents)
- Model Agents with
 - limited capabilities (bounded rationality)
 - nonlinear behavior (if/then rules, etc)
 - heterogeneous knowledge, capabilities, relations (who they interact with)
 - heterogeneous individual “goals”
 - heterogeneous history, memory
 - adaptive capabilities (strategies, relations)
- Interactions are critical to behavior
- Interactions are (usually) local (social and/or spatial topologies)
- Mix of cooperative and competitive interactions.

Macro-level characteristics of CAS

- Aggregate features important: GNP, market prices, organizational structure, population size, ...
- Large scale structure/dynamics (patterns) emerge: power laws; cycles, basins of attraction; bifurcation patterns
- Hard/impossible to predict dynamics and structure in detail.
- Path dependent phenomena:
 - sensitivity to initial conditions
 - accumulation of historical accidents
 - multiple “equilibria”
- Nonlinear dynamics common, e.g., tipping phenomena, punctuated equilibrium, etc.
- Perpetual novelty: behavior in “transients” is important (systems never reach equilibrium)!
- New “emergent structures” are important: cells, organisms; tribes, nation states, firms.

How to Model CAS??

Models:

- Description of **part of** the world.
- Capture structure/dynamics **of interest to modeler**.

Key questions:

- What to include?
- What to leave out?

Answering those: **art and craft of modeling!**

KISS (Keep It Simple, Stupid)

- Easier for you to understand
- Easier to analyze, display results
- Easier to describe and explain to others!

Computer models: great temptation to include too much!

Another key question: What is the purpose of the model?

- Predictive:

Strongest claims; clear policy implications; most difficult to obtain (CAS–impossible?)

Note role of abstraction in “predictions:”

- There will be cycles (vs equil vs chaos)
- There will be 12-year cycles
- There will be this particular time series...

- Explanatory:

Explain observed patterns, but not make detailed predictions. Example: evolutionary models in biology.

- Exploratory:

Develop intuitions or even theories. Study alternative hypotheses. Determine implications of assumptions. Examples: Schelling's segregation model; Axelrod's Cultural Diversity model.

Modeling languages

- Text description (informal)
- Mathematical (formal)
 - Equations (static, dynamic); logic stmts.
 - Precise, robust (over assumptions).
 - Must be “simple” to get closed form solutions.
 - Numerical methods for analysis/estimation. (system dynamics equation-based models).
 - Difficulties from:
 - heterogenous, non-continuous space
 - heterogenous agents
 - nonlinear mechanisms (if-then rules)
 - adaptive agents: creating new agents.
- Agent-Based Models (simulations).
 - (note I don't call EBM “simulations.”)
 - Formal; precise. (Text description might not be...)
 - “Solve” by running the program!

Agent Based Models / Simulations

Specify simple, locally driven mechanisms and interactions. (Bottom-up approach).

Typically three components:

1. Agents

- Sensors (see neighbors and their types)
- Effectors (move to new cell)
- Individual state (type, memory, ...)
- Behavior rules (if ... then ...)
- Adaptive mechanisms (learn; genetic)

2. A world

Some topology; State/dynamics of its own.
Ex: 2D world, with resource dynamics.

3. Instrumentation for observations

Not always obvious what to measure, or how to measure it.

(e.g., what clustering measure? what is a species? what is a new organization?)

EBM: equation based modeling

- Fundamental entities are usually aggregate variables (GNP, #infected)
- Dynamics: Relate variables by equations (ODE, PDE)
- Top-down, system dynamics approach (macro econ, epidemiology, ...)
- Often based on mean-field assumptions (i.e., ignore or approximate any underlying variance)

ABM: agent (individual) based modeling

- Entities are “agents” each with own state
- Dynamics: behaviors of agents, defining interactions with each other, with objects in the “world,” adaptive changes, etc.
- Generally do not include aggregate variables in model dynamics.
- Observe “emergence” of aggregate level dynamics and structure (GNP cycles, trade patterns, nation alliance formation/dissolution).

Why/when use ABM approach:

- Relax constraints & assumptions necessary for equation based approach:
 - Non-linear dynamics: if-then rules.
 - Heterogeneous agents: attributes could be obtained from survey data, etc.
 - Includes/distinguish physical vs social interaction spaces.
- Rich endogenous adaptive mechanisms.
 - Avoid the nano-fox problem:
Infinite population -> All types always present!
 - Can study *time to discovery* of new types & strategies.
 - Make use of open-ended (generative) adaptive mechanisms.

- Mechanisms / Processes specified at the agent (micro) level.
 - More intuitive (“natural”) level for people: Non-modelers can understand it & become engaged. Easier to get domain expertise and/or “sell” to policy makers.
 - Useful policy *levers* often at this level. (Eg, focus on “hub” individuals in social networks, or on changing agent preference distributions or rules of action)
 - Validate system at *two* levels: check agent behavior as well as the usual aggregate observable behavior.

- Conceptual shift:
 - Emphasize dynamics (vs equilibrium)
 - Focus on path dependence, distribution of histories (typical vs. atypical)
 - “Intuitive” representation of (controlable) agents and their behaviors.
 - Generative approach to social science: plausible model if “realistic” agents generate observed phenomena.
 - Construct artificial worlds about which everything is known; experimentation is (relatively) easy. Use to test hypotheses and assumptions, and to *test survey/statistical* tools themselves.

Problems/Cautions with ABM approach

- Verification: is the program debugged.
- Validation (compared to the world):
 - Valid basic mechanisms? May be hard to validate assumptions (e.g., parasitic load, individual search mechanisms, etc.)
 - Valid predictions: what level of abstraction should be predicted?
 - Are results artifacts of some (unknown) implementation details?
- Understanding and describing the models
 - Too many agent types, mechanisms, variables -> unfathomable model.
 - Text description vs model implementation can mislead.
 - Mountains of data: Can be as complex as observations from the world being modeled!

- Computational constraints.

How to avoid biases introduced by:

- small populations (drift),
- short run length (missed events),
- limited number of replications (misleading sample of histories)
- limited sample of parameter space (special cases).

This is becoming less of a problem with faster computers.

- Not as “general” as equations.

- No analytic solutions.
- Results may be tied to specific details and conditions of computer model runs.

But—generality issue for analytic models: Do the results hold when (often very restrictive) assumptions are violated?!

Readings for today:

- Casti: Modeling in general, types of models, uses of models.
- Rauch: Popular account of Schelling; recent work at Brookings.
- Bonabeau: Why ABM? Several example applications to “real world” (traffic, customers, markets, organizations, crowds, ...)
- Axtell: Compare to “traditional” analytic approaches.
- Bankes: Exploratory vs. consolidative models. Pitfalls of trying to make quantitative, predictive models of very complex systems.
- Parunak et al: ABM vs EBMs, for modeling supply chain dynamics.